ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA359472 07/22/2010

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| Proceeding | 77534661 |
|---------------------------|---|
| Applicant | Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc. |
| Correspondence Address | THOMAS I. ROZSA ROZSA LAW GROUP LC 18757 BURBANK BLVD STE 220 TARZANA, CA 91356-3346 UNITED STATES amy@rozsalaw.com |
| Submission | Appeal Brief |
| Attachments | Appeal Brief.Know that.pdf (4 pages)(1423920 bytes) |
| Filer's Name | Thomas I. Rozsa |
| Filer's e-mail | amy@rozsalaw.com |
| Signature | /Thomas I. Rozsa/ |
| Date | 07/22/2010 |

Docket: 34990.083

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| In re Application of: | TRADEMARK LAW OFFICE 102 |
|--|--|
| Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc.) | Trademark Attorney: Cimmerian Coleman |
| Serial No. 77/534,661) | (571) 272-9146 |
| Filed: 07/30/2008) | |
| Mark: KNOW THAT YOUR PET FOOD) HAS BEEN TESTED.) | |

BRIEF FOR APPELLANT

INTRODUCTION

Applicant and Appellant Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc. (hereafter "Appellant") hereby appeals from the Examiner's refusal to register the above-referenced mark dated December 1, 2009. Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal and paid the required fee on May 27, 2010. Therefore, the Notice of Appeal was timely filed. This brief is being filed electronically with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board on July 22, 2010. In the event that any filing fee is required for the filing of this Brief for Appellant, the Commissioner for Trademarks is hereby authorized to charge my Deposit Account No. 18-2222 for any such filing fee.

II.

APPELLANT'S MARK

Appellant seeks registration on the Principal Trademark Register of its mark

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"KNOW THAT YOUR PET FOOD HAS BEEN TESTED" for use in conjunction with dog food and cat food.

III.

REJECTION BY TRADEMARK ATTORNEY

The Trademark Attorney has not cited any other application or registration as a bar to registration but has rejected the specimen of use that was filed with the application. The Appellant submitted a photograph of a product display adjacent the goods sold at retail wherein the trademark was clearly visible on the display. The Examiner has rejected the specimen of use because the Examiner claims that the applied for mark as shown on the specimen is merely informational matter because the specimen indicates that one can log onto a website to determine whether the pet food has been tested. The Appellant very respectfully disagrees with the Examiner for the reasons as set forth below.

IV.

ARGUMENT AND SUPPORTING CASE LAW

The Trademark Attorney has refused registration because the Trademark Attorney believes that the specimen of use submitted by the Appellant does not show use as a trademark. Enclosed with this brief is a photograph of the specimen of use as submitted which is a product display positioned next to Appellant's goods. Clearly marked on the display is the trademark "KNOW THAT YOUR PET FOOD HAS BEEN TESTED" Therefore, there is absolutely and totally no question that the product display contains the trademark thereon and designates it as a trademark and therefore since printing the trademark on the product displays is appropriate trademark use, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection should be reversed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Trademark specimens as evidence of acceptable trademark use of the mark includes "in general, labels, tags or containers bearing the mark or displays associated with the goods which bear the mark are appropriate specimens." 37 C.F.R. §2.56; TMEP §904.03(a). Accordingly, since the specimen of use is a product display positioned adjacent to the products as sold and clearly has the trademark thereon, it is respectfully submitted that the specimen of use submitted by the Appellant is acceptable and therefore, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board is respectfully requested to reverse the Examiner and to permit the present application to pass to issuance and registration.

V.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing reasons and arguments the present application for "KNOW THAT YOUR PET FOOD HAS BEEN TESTED." should be passed to publication in the official Trademark Gazette and reversal of the Trademark Attorney and issuance of a notice of publication is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

ROZSA LAW GROUP LC

Date: July 72, 2010

Thomas I. Rozsa,

Registration No. 29,210

Attorney for Appellant

18757 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 220

homes & Ross

Tarzana, California 91356-3346

Telephone: (818) 783-0990 Telecopier: (818) 783-0992

know.app.brf

